

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

AEVOE CORP.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

AE TECH. CO., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:12-cv-00053-GMN-NJK

ORDER

(Docket No. 243)

Pending before the Court is a motion to quash a subpoena and/or for protective order. *See* Docket No. 243. That motion has been fully briefed and came on for hearing on September 3, 2013. Docket No. 351. During the hearing, the Court discussed, *inter alia*, terms of a protective order that may limit or eliminate any burden or prejudice to movants. The Court hereby orders the additional information outlined below to be provided:

(1) Plaintiff's counsel indicated at the hearing that United States District Judge Navarro had previously denied its request for a prosecution bar in this case. Plaintiff shall identify that order. Plaintiff's counsel also indicated that some additional precautions could be undertaken internally at Winston & Strawn to protect movant's information, in addition to a prosecution bar, but counsel did not elaborate. Plaintiff's counsel shall explain what additional precautions are available. Counsel shall provide the above information, no later than September 11, 2013, in a filing of five pages or less.


(2) The Court has heard from Plaintiff and movants regarding a prosecution bar with respect to the documents subpoenaed from movants. Defendants shall provide their position with

1 respect to a prosecution bar, no later than September 11, 2013, in a filing of five pages or  
2 less.

3 NO FILINGS RELATED TO THIS MOTION MAY BE MADE EXCEPT FOR THOSE  
4 SPECIFIED ABOVE.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 DATED: September 4, 2013

7   
8 \_\_\_\_\_  
9 NANCY J. KOPPE  
10 United States Magistrate Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28